UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,201	12/18/2000	Cian E. O'Meara	920673-907251	4327
	7590 04/12/201 IORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2786	60600 2786	BOYCE, ANDRE D		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	09/740,201	O'MEARA ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	Andre Boyce	3623	
	-		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 18 February 2011.
 Improper Request – The Regression reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	At Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Andre Boyce.	(3) <u>Beth Boswell</u> .
(2) <u>Vincent Millin /vm/</u> <u>Appeals conference Specialist</u> .	(4)
/A. B./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623	/B. V. B./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623